

stanley milgram obedience to authority

Stanley Milgram's Obedience to Authority has become a cornerstone of social psychology, highlighting the extent to which individuals are willing to comply with authority figures, even when such orders conflict with personal conscience and ethical standards. Conducted in the early 1960s, Milgram's experiments have sparked intense debate and discussion about human nature, moral responsibility, and the psychological mechanisms underlying obedience. This article delves into the historical context, methodology, findings, and implications of Milgram's work, offering a comprehensive understanding of why people obey authority figures and the ethical considerations that arise from such obedience.

Historical Context

In the aftermath of World War II, a series of trials held in Nuremberg revealed that many individuals who participated in heinous acts claimed they were merely following orders. This raised a critical question: To what extent could individuals be held responsible for actions taken under the influence of authority? Milgram sought to explore this issue through systematic scientific inquiry, aiming to understand the psychological mechanisms that drive obedience.

The Experiment: Methodology

Milgram's obedience experiments were conducted at Yale University between 1961 and 1962. The study involved participants who believed they were taking part in a learning experiment. Here's a breakdown of the methodology:

Participants

- Selection: Milgram recruited 40 male participants aged 20 to 50, from various backgrounds, through newspaper advertisements.
- Compensation: Each participant was promised \$4.50 for their time, an amount deemed significant for the era.

Procedure

1. Setup: Participants were introduced to a confederate (an actor) who they believed was another participant. In reality, the confederate was part of the experiment.

2. Roles: Participants were assigned the role of "teacher," while the confederate was the "learner." The teacher was instructed to administer electric shocks to the learner for every incorrect answer.
3. Shock Generator: A shock generator was used, marked with voltage levels ranging from 15 to 450 volts. The labels included terms such as "slight shock," "moderate shock," "strong shock," and "danger: severe shock."
4. Instructions: With each incorrect answer, the teacher was instructed to administer increasingly higher shocks, despite hearing the learner's (pre-recorded) pleas for mercy.

Frequently Asked Questions

What was the primary aim of Stanley Milgram's obedience experiments?

The primary aim was to investigate how far individuals would go in obeying an authority figure, even when it involved causing harm to another person.

What methodology did Milgram use in his experiments on obedience?

Milgram used a laboratory experiment where participants were instructed to administer electric shocks to a 'learner' for incorrect answers, testing their willingness to obey authority.

What were the key findings of Milgram's obedience studies?

A significant majority of participants were willing to administer what they believed were painful shocks to others, demonstrating a high level of obedience to authority.

How did Milgram's findings challenge the perception of human nature?

Milgram's findings suggested that ordinary people are capable of committing acts of cruelty when ordered by an authority figure, challenging the belief that only 'evil' individuals engage in harmful behavior.

What ethical concerns arose from Milgram's obedience experiments?

Ethical concerns included the psychological stress placed on participants, deception regarding the nature of the experiment, and the potential for long-term emotional effects.

How has Milgram's work influenced modern psychology and ethical guidelines?

Milgram's work has led to stricter ethical guidelines in psychological research, emphasizing informed consent, the right to withdraw, and the minimization of harm.

What cultural or historical contexts influenced Milgram's research on obedience?

Milgram's research was influenced by the atrocities of World War II and the Holocaust, prompting a desire to understand how ordinary people could commit such acts under authority.

What are some criticisms of Milgram's obedience experiment methodology?

Critics argue that the artificial laboratory setting may not accurately reflect real-life situations, and the emotional responses of participants may have been exaggerated or influenced by demand characteristics.

[Stanley Milgram Obedience To Authority](#)

Stanley Milgram Obedience To Authority

Related Articles

- [start with why discussion questions](#)
- [step family therapy stepmom alexis malone](#)
- [springfield hellcat with manual safety](#)

[Back to Home](#)